

FORM 17 – NOTICE OF REVIEW – RESPONDENT/APPLICANT

Review File No.: HE20260012
Decision(s) under Review: 2026 LSBC 12

**LAW SOCIETY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA TRIBUNAL
REVIEW DIVISION**

BETWEEN:

ROHITA PANNU

RESPONDENT/APPLICANT

AND:

LAW SOCIETY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

NOTICE OF REVIEW – RESPONDENT/APPLICANT

To: The Law Society of British Columbia
9th Floor, 845 Cambie Street,
Vancouver, BC, V6B 4Z9

ON NOTICE TO: Gagan Mann, Discipline Counsel

TAKE NOTICE that the Respondent/Applicant applies for a review on the record:

- [X] under s. 47(1) of the *Legal Profession Act*, SBC 1998, c. 9, from a final decision of the Hearing Panel dated August 7, 2026 and indexed as 2026 LSBC 12;
- [] under s. 47(3.1) of the *Legal Profession Act*, SBC 1998, c. 9, from a costs order made under section 46 by the Hearing Panel on [insert date] and indexed as [insert citation];
- [] under s. 47(3.1) of the *Legal Profession Act*, SBC 1998, c. 9, from a costs order made under section 27(2)(e) by the practice standards committee on [insert date].

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE that at the hearing of the review, the Respondent/Applicant will be seeking an order that

1. The Hearing Panel erred in fact and law in making a determination that the Respondent was ungovernable;
2. More particularly, that the Hearing Panel erred in its consideration and weighing of the factors that govern a finding of ungovernability;
3. Further, that the Hearing Panel erred in treating as relevant to the issue of ungovernability its prior conclusions in *Law Society of BC v Pannu*, 2026 LSBC 07;
4. Further, that the Hearing Panel erred in its consideration of mitigating factors in relation to the issue of ungovernability, including failing to give appropriate weight to the Respondent's admissions and acknowledgment of responsibility, her health, and her subsequent conduct;
5. That the Hearing Panel erred in fact and law in imposing a penalty of disbarment;
6. More particularly, that the Hearing Panel failed to consider or meaningfully weigh the factors that govern the determination of a fit penalty, including the principles of rehabilitation and proportionality;
7. That the Hearing Panel's order of disbarment is an unreasonable departure from existing cases imposing lesser penalties for more serious conduct;
8. That the Hearing Panel's finding that the Respondent is ungovernable be set aside;
9. That the Hearing Panel's finding that disbarment is the appropriate disciplinary sanction be set aside and substituted with a sanction that the Review Board finds appropriate;
10. Costs in accordance with the Law Society Rules;
11. Such further and other orders as the Respondent may advise and the Review Board permits.

THE ISSUES TO BE CONSIDERED ON THE REVIEW are set out below:

1. Whether the Hearing Panel erred in fact and law in making a determination that the Respondent was ungovernable;
2. Whether the Hearing Panel erred in its consideration and weighing of the factors that govern a determination of ungovernability;
3. Whether the Hearing Panel erred in treating as relevant to the issue of ungovernability its earlier conclusions in a separate, prior disciplinary proceeding involving the Respondent;
4. Whether the Hearing Panel erred in its consideration of mitigating factors in relation to the issue of ungovernability, including failing to give appropriate weight to the Respondent's admissions and acknowledgment of responsibility, her health, and her subsequent conduct;
5. Whether the Hearing Panel erred in fact and law in imposing a penalty of disbarment;
6. Whether the Hearing Panel failed to consider or meaningfully weigh the factors that govern the determination of a fit penalty, including the principles of rehabilitation and proportionality;
7. Whether the Hearing Panel's order of disbarment is an unreasonable departure from existing cases imposing lesser penalties for more serious conduct;
8. In the event the order of disbarment is set aside, what penalty is fit and proportionate in the Respondent's circumstances;

9. Such further and other issues as the Respondent may advise and the Review Board permits.

Date: September 3, 2026



Joven Narwal KC and Joshua Wandler
Counsel for the Respondent/Applicant
Narwal Litigation LLP
970 – 777 Hornby Street
Vancouver, BC V6Z 1S4
Telephone: 604-681-2226
Email: admin@narwallit.com